	Case 1:24-cv-01024-BAM Document	6 Filed 08/28/24	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ANTHONY ALBERT BAGGETT,	No. 2:24-cv-211	16 SCR P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.		
14	CITY OF FRESNO, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a civil detainee proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. §1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff		
19	alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Fresno		
20	County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern		
21	District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).		
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
23	division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the		
24	court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the		
25	potentially consequential screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule		
26	on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
27	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
28	1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;		
		1	

## 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: August 28, 2024 SEAN C. RIORDAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:24-cv-01024-BAM Document 6 Filed 08/28/24 Page 2 of 2